

Compare Table for GDF for HLW - Geological Disposal Facilities for nuclear high level waste

Name	Invest	Container Capacity	Capacity net	Price per kg heavy metal	Geology	Depth
DBHD 2.0.0 GDF deep, dry, gas-tight	2,07 Mrd. EUR	3x 427 HLW Containers	12.810 tons net	162 EUR / kg	Rocksalt	2.200 to 1.400 m
					Clayrock	2.200 to 1.400 m
ANDRA - Bure undeeep, wet, not gas-tight	35 Mrd. EUR	???	33.000 tons net	1.061 EUR / kg	Clayrock	500 m
					Mergel	Plan stopped ?
ENRESA - Spain 2017 undeeep, wet, not gas-tight	31 Mrd. EUR old concept	DBE/nagra copy	6.675 tons net	4.644 EUR / kg	Hardrock	???
ENRESA - Spain 2020 deep, dry, gas-tight	1,6 Mrd. EUR 1,9 Mrd. EUR	2 DBHD Columns 2x 427 Castors Option	8.540 tons net	187 EUR / kg 223 EUR / kg	Sediments GNS Castors	2.200 m - 1.400 m GDF in Ebro Bassin or Balearic Promontory
Posiva Oy - Olkiluoto undeeep, wet, not gas-tight	3,5 Mrd. EUR ? never !!!	Steel, Copper	6.500 tons net	538 EUR / kg	Hardrock	400 - 450 m EU Gerichtshof
nagra - CH undeeep, wet, not gas-tight	25 Mrd. EUR	???	???	EUR / kg	Clayrock	580 m EU-Gerichtshof
Worldwide HLW	55 Mrd. EUR		340.000 tons net	162 EUR / kg plus Containers		with DBHD plus Containers
DBHD is deep, dry and closed gas-tight - all horizontal are UNSAFE Bull-SHIT !!!				EFFICIENCY	SAFETY	Dipl.-Ing. Goebel